



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,250	07/12/2006	Fouad El-Baroudi	0617-1004	4947
466	7590	12/08/2009		
YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			BORIN, MICHAEL L	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1631		
		NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		12/08/2009		ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/541,250	EL-BAROUDI, FOUAD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Borin	1631

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Michael Borin. (3) _____.

(2) James J. Livingston. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1, with language of claim 7 incorporated into claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael Borin/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner requested assistance in reconciling the amended claim language of claim 1, namely, how the language of claim 7, now incorporated into claim 1, is correlated with the other method steps. The council agreed that the relation is not clear and that the applicant would provide a substantive response. Further, examiner pointed out that upon further consideration more instances of indefinite language in the claims would require additional rejections. .